## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS DALLAS DIVISION

In re:

ON-LINE TRAVEL COMPANY (OTC) HOTEL BOOKING ANTITRUST LITIGATION Case No. 3:12-MD-2405-B

(This Document Relates to All Cases)

## SUPPLEMENTAL MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF THE ORIGINAL AND CONSENSUS PLAINTIFFS' REQUEST FOR APPOINTMENT OF HAGENS BERMAN AS INTERIM LEAD COUNSEL AND STANLEY IOLA AS LIAISON COUNSEL FOR THE PUTATIVE CLASS

This supplemental memorandum is submitted on behalf of the Plaintiffs and Counsel set forth below, all of whom support appointment of Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro LLP as Lead Counsel and Stanley Iola LLP as Liaison Counsel for the putative class in this case. In considering that request, the Court should be advised of the following.

At the February 25th conference, one of the counsel urging appointment of the Smith Group stated that a "deal" had been made involving counsel supporting Hagens Berman. We have no interest in recriminations of any kind, but we want to be very clear: that statement has no basis in fact. There has been no "deal," promise or commitment of any kind, written or unwritten, between Hagens Berman or Stanley Iola and any of the many firms supporting their appointment as sole lead and liaison counsel in this case.

Because this erroneous statement was made, counsel supporting appointment of Hagens Berman and Stanley Iola should also be clear about the reasons we unanimously support the leadership structure proposed by the Original and Consensus Plaintiffs:

First, as Mr. Berman correctly represented, this is a proprietary case developed principally through the factual and legal investigation of the Hagens Berman firm. As Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(g) recognizes, this is an important factor in determining lead counsel. Indeed, where one firm has devoted significant time and resources to investigation and development of an antitrust case – and other firms then try to displace it as a lead or co-lead counsel – this has the potential to undermine private enforcement of the antitrust laws by discouraging firms from making the substantial investment required to investigate and develop such cases. Accordingly, on the facts of this case, this should be a significant factor favoring appointment of Hagens Berman as lead counsel.

Second, as the submissions by the Hagens Berman firm make clear, it is highly qualified to serve as lead counsel in this complex antitrust class action. While the firms below are also highly qualified – and have served as lead or co-lead counsel in many antitrust class actions – these counsel have agreed to support Hagens Berman as lead in this case not because of any "deal" or other commitment, but because on the facts presented here, this is the most efficient and sensible manner to proceed in this case.<sup>2</sup>

*Third*, while counsel for the three firms seeking co-leads ("the Smith Group") argue that they offer a more efficient model, we believe the opposite conclusion is true. On the facts of this

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> One of the three other firms seeking a co-lead position represented that it also devoted substantial time to the investigation of this case. We, of course, are in no position to evaluate that claim, but offer the following observations. The fact that a firm devoted significant time to investigation over a year period, but took no action to file the case – until Hagens Berman had filed – hardly supports the conclusion that the firm should then assume a leadership position for the class. That is particularly true here, where the follow-on complaint simply copies the factual allegations and legal analysis set forth in the Hagens Berman complaint. If anything, those facts should weigh *against* a leadership position.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> There are more than 25 experienced law firms that comprise the Consensus Group and many with significant antitrust class action experience. Each of the firms' website details this experience; by way of example only, see http://kaplanfox.com/practiceareas/competitionlaw/practice.html; http://bm.net/practice-areas/antitrust; http://www.cpmlegal.com/practices-Antitrust.html; http://www.girardgibbs.com/antitrust-law/. These descriptions of antitrust practice are exemplary only and certainly non-exclusive.

case, appointing a single firm lead – and, in particular, a firm with extensive experience in the management of antitrust class action litigation – is most likely to result in efficiencies and avoid duplication. The efficient allocation of work will be directed by a firm with substantial expertise in such matters and will, with the Court's approval, be subject to the [Proposed] Guidelines to Limit Costs and Expenses, Including Attorneys' Fees. Indeed, the ability of the proposed leadership structure to move this case forward in an efficient and sensible manner is evidenced by the Original and Consensus Plaintiffs' Position Statement (and the exhibits filed therewith), which reflects a clear vision, grounded in experience, regarding the manner in which many of the procedural issues posed by this case can efficiently and effectively be addressed.

All of the firms set forth below understand that the proposed leadership structure may or may not result in *any* work for these firms. Notwithstanding this, we unanimously support the proposed leadership structure because it is in the best interests of this litigation and the putative class.

Finally, we urge the Court to adopt the leadership structure proposed by the Original and Consensus Plaintiffs, rather than a combination of the two competing proposals. This result is dictated here by the factors described in Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(g). It also appropriately avoids placing counsel for the Smith Group in a favored position over the many counsel listed below, who would be highly qualified to lead or co-lead this litigation but have elected to support Hagens Berman based on the proprietary nature of the case, the factors set forth in Rule 23(g), and the best interests of the putative class in the circumstances presented here.

DATED: February 28, 2013 Respectfully Submitted,

By: /s/ Kit A. Pierson

Todd A. Seaver BERMAN DEVALERIO One California Street, Suite 900 San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone: (415) 433-3200 Facsimile: (415) 433-6382 tseaver@bermandevalerio.com

Eric H. Gibbs Dylan Hughes Scott Grzenczyk GIRARD GIBBS LLP 601 California Street, 14th Floor San Francisco, CA 94108 Telephone: (415) 981-4800 Facsimile: (415) 981-4846

Nancy Kaboolian Natalie S. Marcus ABBEY SPANIER, LLP 212 East 39th Street New York, New York 10016 Telephone: 212-889-3700 nkaboolian@ eyspanier.com nmarcus@abbeyspanier.com

lpaskowitz@pasklaw.com

Laurence D. Paskowitz THE PASKOWITZ LAW FIRM, P.C. 208 East 51st Street Suite 380 New York, New York 10022 Telephone: 212-685-0969 Kit A. Pierson
Meghan M. Boone
COHEN MILSTEIN SELLERS & TOLL PLLC
1100 New York Avenue, N.W.
Suite 500, West Tower
Washington, DC 20005
Telephone: (202) 408-4600
Facsimile: (202) 408-4699
kpierson@cohennilstein.com
mboone@cohemnilstein.com

Ruthanne Gordon
Michael C. Dell'Angelo
Candice J. Enders
BERGER & MONTAGUE, P.C.
1622 Locust Street
Philadelphia, PA 19103
Telephone: (215) 875-3000
Facsimile: (215) 875-4604
rgordon@bm.net
mdellangelo@bm.net
cenders@bm.net

Will Riley
PRICE WAICUKAUSKI & RILEY, LLC
301 Massachusetts Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204
Telephone: (317) 633-8787
Facsimile: (317) 633-8797
yballesteros@Price-law.com

Daniel J. Mogin THE MOGIN LAW FIRM, P.C. 707 Broadway, Suite 1000 San Diego, CA 2101 Tel: 619-687-6611 Fax: 619-687-6610 dmogin@moginlaw.com Ronen Sarraf Joseph Gentile SARRAF GENTILE LLP 450 Seventh Avenue, Suite 1900 New York, New York 10123

Tel: 212-868-3610 Fax: 212-918-7967 ronen@sarrafgentile.com joseph@saffafgentile.com

Eugene A. Spector
Jeffrey J. Corrigan
Jay Cohen
SPECTOR ROSEMAN
KODROFF & WILLIS, P.C.
1818 Market Street - Suite 2500
Philadelphia, P A 19103
Telephone: (215) 496-0300
Facsimile: (215) 496-6611
Email: espector@srkw-law.com
jcorrigan@srkw-law.com
jcohen @srkw-law.com

Irwin B. Levin
Richard E. Shevitz
Scott D. Gilchrist
COHEN & MALAD, LLP
One Indiana Square, Suite 1400
Indianapolis, IN 46204
Telephone: 317-636-6481
Facsimile: 317-636-2593
ilevin@cohenandmalad.com
rshevitz@cohenandmalad.com
sgilchrist@cohenandmalad.com

Laurence D. King
Linda M. Fong
KAPLAN FOX & KILSHEIMER LLP
350 Sansome Street, Suite 400
San Francisco, CA 94 104
Telephone: (415) 772-4700
Facsimile: (415) 772-4707
lking@kaplanfox.com
lfong@kaplanfox.com

Justin B. Farar
KAPLAN FOX & KILSHEIMER LLP
11111 Santa Monica Blvd, Suite 620
Los Angeles, CA 90025
Telephone: (310) 575-8670
Facsimile: (310) 575-8697
jfamr@kaplanfox.com

David E. Bower FARUQI & FARUQI, LLP 10866 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 1470 Los Angeles, CA 90024 Tel: (424) 256-2884 Fax: (424) 256-2885 dbower@faruqilaw.com

Joseph T. Lukens Richard D. Schwartz FARUQI & FARUQI, LLP 101 Greenwood Avenue Suite 600 Jenkintown, P A 19046 Tel: (215) 577-5770 Fax: (215) 577-5771 jlukens@faruqilaw.com rschwartz@faruqilaw.com

Guido Saveri R. Alexander Saveri SAVERI & SAVERI, INC. 706 Sansome Street San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone: (415) 217-6810 Facsimile: (415) 217-6813 guido@saveri.com

Joseph W. Cotchett
Steven N. Williams
COTCHETT, PITRE & MCCARTHY, LLP
San Francisco Airport Office Center
840 Malcolm Road, Suite 200
Burlingame, CA 94010
Telephone: (650) 697-6000
Facsimile: (650) 697-0577
jcotchett@cpmlegal.com

Brian J. Barry

LAW OFFICES OF BRIAN BARRY 1925 Century Park East, Suite 2100

Los Angeles, CA 90067 Telephone: (323) 522-5584 bribarry1@yahoo.com

Douglas A. Millen Donald L. Sawyer

FREED KANNER LONDON & MILLEN LLC

2201 Waukegan Road

Suite 130

Bannockburn, IL 60015

224-632-4500 Fax: 224-632-4521 doug@fklmlaw.com

Christian M. Sande

CHRISTIAN SANDE LLC

310 Clifton Avenue

Suite 300

Minneapolis, MN 55403

612-387-1430

Fax: 612-677-3078

Joseph R. Saveri Lisa Jennifer Leebove SAVERI LAW FIRM 255 California, Suite 450 San Francisco, CA 94111

(415) 500–6800 Fax: 415–500–6803

Email: jsaveri@saverilawfirm.com lleebove@saverilawfirm.com

Vincent J. Esades Renae Diane Steiner

HEINS MILLS & OLDSON, P.L.C.

310 Clifton Avenue Minneapolis, MN 55403

612-338-4605 Fax: 612-338-4692

vesades@heinsmill rsteiner@heinsmills.com

Brett Cebulash Kevin Landau

TAUS CEBULASH & LANDAU LLP

80 Maiden Lane, Suite 1204 New York, New York 10038

Tel: 212-931-0704

John Emerson

EMERSON POYNTER LLP

The Museum Building

500 President Clinton Avenue

Suite 305

Little Rock. Arkansas 72201

Tel: 501-907-2555 Fax: 501-907-2556

Christopher T. Micheletti

Craig C. Corbitt

**Demetrius Xavier Lambrinos** 

Judith A. Zahid

ZELLE HOFMANN VOELBEL & MASON LLP

44 Montgomery Street Suite 3400

San Francisco, CA 94104

415-693-0700

Fax: 415-693-0770

cmicheletti@zelle.com

ccorbitt@zelle.com

dlambrinos@zelle.com

jzahid@zelle.com

Lee Albert

Brian Murray

GLANCY BINKOW & GOLDBERG LLP

30 Broad Street, Suite 1401 New York, NY 10004 Telephone: (212) 382-2221

Ralph B. Kalfayan

KRAUSE KALFAYAN BENINK

& SLAVENS, LLP

550 W. C Street, Suite 530

San Diego, CA 92101

Telephone: (619) 232-0331 Facsimile: (619) 232-4019

Ralph@kkbs-law.com

Paul Mark Sandler SHAPIRO SHER GUINOT AND SANDLER 36 S Charles St., Suite 2000 Baltimore, MD 21201 Telephone: (410) 385-0202 Facsimile: (410) 539-7611 pms@shapirosher.com

Howard Sedran LEVIN, FISHBEIN, SEDRAN & BERMAN 510 Walnut Street, Suite 500 Philadelphia, PA 19106 Telephone: (877) 882-1011

Roger Mandel LACKEY HERSHMAN LLP 3102 Oak Lawn Avenue, Suite 777 Dallas, TX 75219-4241

Telephone: (214) 560-2201 Facsimile: (214) 560.2203

Garrett Blanchfield REINHARDT WENDORF & BLANCHFIELD E-1250 First National Bank Bldg. 332 Minnesota St. St. Paul, MN 55101 651-287-2100 651-287-2103 (fax)

Terry Gross GROSS BELSKY ALONSO LLP One Sansome Street Suite 3670 San Francisco California 94104 415 544-0200 415 544-0201 (fax) **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE** 

The undersigned hereby certifies that on February 28, 2013 the foregoing was filed

electronically and served by mail on anyone unable to accept electronic filing. Notice of this

filing will be sent by e-mail to all parties by operation of the Court's electronic filing system or

by mail to anyone unable to accept electronic filing. Parties may access this filing through the

Court's system.

Dated:

February 28, 2013

/s/ Kit A. Pierson

Kit A. Pierson

-8-